

Formative Analysis of Aging in Place:

Jones, Keith S. ; Cherry, Barbara; Harris, Dennis J.; Sridharan, Mohan

DOI:

[10.1177/1541931213601770](https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213601770)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jones, KS, Cherry, B, Harris, DJ & Sridharan, M 2017, Formative Analysis of Aging in Place: Implications for the Design of Caregiver Robots. in *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*: : 2017. 1 edn, vol. 61, Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, no. 1, vol. 61, SAGE Publications, pp. 1145-1145, Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting 2017, Austin, TX, United States, 9/10/17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213601770>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Formative Analysis of Aging in Place: Implications for the Design of Caregiver Robots, Keith S. Jones, Barbara Cherry, Dennis J. Harris, and Mohan Sridharan, Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, Vol 61, Issue 1, pp. 1145 - 1145, First Published September 28, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213601770>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Formative Analysis of Aging in Place: Implications for the Design of Caregiver Robots

Keith S. Jones¹, Barbara Cherry², Dennis J. Harris¹, and Mohan Sridharan³
Texas Tech University¹, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center², University of Auckland³

SUMMARY

Many have conceptualized caregiver robots as consumer products and studied elders' perceived needs for and preferences about such products. For reviews, please see (Broadbent, Stafford, & MacDonald, 2009; Jones & Schmidlin, 2011). That approach, though, could create robots that cannot satisfy elders' actual caregiving needs.

Alternatively, one can conceptualize caregiver robots as workers in complex socio-technical systems. To do so, one would need a detailed account of the caregiving that takes place in elders' homes. Unfortunately, as noted in a National Research Council (2011) report, such a detailed account of caregiving does not exist.

Accordingly, we sought to develop such an account. There are many ways to analyze work (for a discussion of general approaches, see Vicente, 1999). They can be categorized into 3 general types: normative, descriptive, and formative approaches (Vicente, 1999). We adopted a formative approach because formative approaches are tailored to the analysis of complex socio-technical systems (Vicente, 1999). They capture work requirements without specifying how that work must be done or who must do it. For example, the constraint "must not lose track of time" captures a work requirement but allows the associated work to be accomplished in a number

of different ways (e.g., by checking a clock, setting an alarm) and by a number of different entities (e.g., family member, caregiver robot).

To conduct our analysis, researchers observed caregiving in elders' homes, and interviewed caregivers about their work activities. Researchers then organized their findings into an Abstraction Hierarchy (AH; Vicente, 1999), that is, a detailed account of the aging in place socio-technical system.

Our primary aim was to create an AH that describes means-ends relations between the complex socio-technical caregiving system's overall objectives, work tasks, and physical resources. Such a description provides a detailed account of the caregiving work domain, and serves as the foundation for subsequent formative analyses of caregiving.

To create the AH, research team members completed 4 steps: 1) analyzing existing caregiving documentation, 2) observing caregiving and interviewing caregivers, 3) drafting and/or refining the AH, and 4) validating the AH. Steps 2 and 3 were iterative. This process is consistent with Naikar, Hopcraft, and Moylan's (2005) recommendations regarding formative analyses.

The AH made clear that caregiving for those who age in place is a complex and nuanced activity. More specifically, our analysis confirmed

existing research regarding categories of caregiving tasks and revealed aspects of caregiving that have not been detailed so far. The existing literature indicates that caregivers assist older adults with self-maintenance activities of daily life (ADLs), such as eating, toileting, and dressing (Lawton, 1990), instrumental activities of daily life (IADLs), such as cooking, cleaning, and shopping (Lawton, 1990), and enhanced activities of daily life (EADLs), such as participating in social activities and pursuing hobbies (Rogers, et al., 1998). Our analysis confirmed those findings, and our AH provides a more detailed account of those tasks than was previously available. Our analysis also revealed aspects of caregiving for those who are aging in place that have not been detailed thus far in the research literature. For example, our AH contains a purpose-related function called Counseling, which concerns ensuring that the elder does not experience psychological distress. To perform this function, the caregiver must understand the elder's situation (e.g., a family conflict), use information about that situation (e.g., experience with relevant family members and/or past conflicts; the elders' past choices), and offer the elder advice about how to proceed (e.g., which family member's advice to follow).

The main implication of our AH for the design of caregiver robots is that such robots cannot be designed to perform

purpose-related functions in a one-size-fits-all way; rather, caregiver robots must exhibit context-conditioned variability (Vicente, 1999). Our AH has many other important implications for the design of caregiver robots, which unfortunately cannot be detailed here due to space constraints.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Award #: 1452460). Opinions, findings, and conclusions are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

REFERENCES

- Broadbent, E., Stafford, R., and MacDonald, B. 2009. Acceptance of healthcare robots for the older population: Review and future directions. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 1, 319-330.
- Jones, K.S., and Schmidlin, E.A. 2011. Human-robot interaction: Toward usable personal robots. In *Reviews of Human Factors and Ergonomics, Volume 7*. P. DELUCIA Ed., Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, Santa Monica, CA, 100-148.
- Lawton, M.P. 1990. Aging and performance of home tasks. *Human Factors*, 32, 527-536.
- Naikar, N., Hopcraft, R., & Moylan, A. (2005). *Work domain analysis: Theoretical concepts and methodology*. DSTO Technical Report (DSTO-TR-1665). Fisherman's Bend, Australia: Defence Science and Technology Organisation.
- National Research Council 2011. *Health care comes home: The human factors*. The National Academies Press, Washington DC.

- Rogers, W.A., Meyer, B., Walker, N., and Fisk, A.D. 1998. Functional limitations to daily living tasks in the aged: A focus group analysis. *Human Factors*, 40(1), 111-125.
- Vicente, K.J. 1999. *Cognitive work analysis: Toward safe, productive, and healthy computer-based work*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ.